

Supplemental table 2: Timing of measurements, physical activity outcome measure used and results of included studies aimed at increasing physical activity in **children** (named reference is the main reference, all reference numbers relate to the study).

Study Ref	Timing of measurements	PA measure*	INT results	CON results	INT vs CON
EDUCATIONAL interventions					
Christodoulos, 2006 ^{w1}	Post-intervention	PA recall questionnaire A. Total MVPA (hrs/wk): adjusted mean B. Organised MVPA (hrs/wk): adjusted mean C. Meeting PA guideline (%)	A. 7.5 (SE 0.5) B. 3.5 (SE 0.3) C. Increased from 32.3% to 77.4% (p<0.0001)	A. 6.3 (SE 0.4) B. 2.5 (SE 0.3) C. Increased from 26.5% to 55.1% (p<0.004)	A. ns B. p<0.05 C. Difference at post-intervention: p<0.043
Fitzgibbon, 2006 ^{w2}	Post-intervention 2-year follow-up	Parent-report questionnaire A. Exercise frequency (mean % doing PA ≥7 times/week): B. Exercise intensity (Borg scale)	A. Post-int: 29.6 (SE 3.6); Follow-up: 28.6 (SE 4.1) B. Post-int: 4.5 (SE 0.3); Follow-up: 4.3 (SE 0.2)	A. Post-int: 29.3 (SE 3.8); Follow-up: 17.8 (SE 4.3) B. Post-int: 4.7 (SE 0.3); Follow-up: 4.6 (SE 0.2)	A. Post-int: 0.28 (95% CI -11.2, 11.8), ns; Follow-up: 10.8 (95% CI -2.6, 24.1), ns B. Post-int: -0.18 (95% CI -1.1, 0.8), ns; Follow-up: -0.3 (95% CI -0.8, 0.2), ns
Harrison 2006 ^{w3}	Post-intervention	1-day PDPAR: Mean number of 30-min blocks/day of MVPA	3.1 (SE 0.4) to 5.9 (SE 0.3)	3.0 (SE 0.4) to 5.1 (SE 0.3)	Adjusted difference: 0.8 (95% CI 0.1, 1.6), p=0.03
Manios, 2006 ^{w4-7}	Post-intervention 4-year follow up	Parent- and child-reported questionnaire (PA outside of school): Mean MVPA mins/week	Boys: Post-int: 510.2 (SD 404.6); Follow-up: 112.3 (SD 78.9) Girls: Post-int: 156.0 (SD 121.0); Follow-up: 36.2 (SD 34.7)	Boys: Post-int: 350.7 (SD 308.0); Follow-up: 96.3 (SD 67.0) Girls: Post-int: 137.3 (SD 118.9); Follow-up: 33.1 (SD 31.2)	Post-int: p<0.001; Follow-up: p=0.03; Group*time: p=0.001 Post-int: ns; Follow-up: ns; Group*time: ns

Study Ref	Timing of measurements	PA measure*	INT results	CON results	INT vs CON
Fairclough, 2005 ^{w8-9}	Mid-intervention (weekly) Post-intervention	A. Heart rate monitors: mean % of time in PE spent in MVPA B. SOFIT: Mean % of time in PE spent in MVPA during intervention period	A. 33.2 (SD 7.4) at baseline, weekly means ranged from 35.0 (SD 19.1) to 44.9 (SD 17.1) B. 18.5 (SD 4.2)	A. 29.2 (SD 20.6) at baseline, weekly means ranged from 26.5 (SD 21.9) to 31.5 (SD 22.3) B. 13.5 (SD 2.2)	A. Main effect: p=0.008 B. p=0.047
Fitzgibbon, 2005 ^{w10}	Post-intervention 12M and 24M follow-up	Parent-report A. Exercise frequency (mean % doing PA \geq 7 times/week) B. Exercise intensity (Borg scale)	A. Post-int: 43.8 (SE 4.3); 12M: 45.0 (SE 5.3); 24M: 39.2 (SE 5.4) B. Post-int: 5.7 (SE 0.1); 12M: 5.3 (SE 0.3); 24M: 4.7 (SE 0.4)	A. Post-int: 43.2 (SE 4.1); 12M: 55.5 (SE 5.1); 24M: 38.4 (SE 5.2) B. Post-int: 5.5 (SE 0.1); 12M: 5.6 (SE 0.3); 24M: 5.4 (SE 0.4)	A. Post-int: 0.6 (-12.6, 13.8), ns; 12M: -10.6 (-27.1, 6.0), ns; 24M: 0.8 (-16.0, 17.6), ns B. Post-int: 0.14 (-0.3, 0.5), ns; 12M: -0.3 (-1.3, 0.8), ns; 24M: -0.6 (-1.8, 0.5), ns
French, 2005 ^{w11}	Mid-intervention (1 year after baseline) Post-intervention	PA Checklist Interview: WBPA score	Increased from 448 to 472 (mid) to 531	Increased from 507 to 539 (mid) to 555	Adjusted difference: 49, ns
Kelder, 2005 ^{w12}	Post-intervention	SOFIT: % of time in PE spent in MVPA	INT (1&2) increased from 29.5 to 56.8	Decreased from 47.8 to 31.3	p=0.001
Palmer, 2005 ^{w13}	Post-intervention (for INT, before CON received INT)	Weekly activity checklist (WAC): weekly energy expenditure (METs)	Increased from 100.9 (SD 83.8) to 123.5 (SD 88.4), p=0.024	Increased from 112.2 (SD 94.8) to 142.7 (SD 122.3), p=0.025	ns for main effects
Sääkslahti, 2004 ^{w14}	Mid-intervention (12M, 18M, 24M, 30M) Post-intervention (36M); follow-up (42M)	PA diary completed by mother for weekend PA: mean time spent in high activity play (hrs/wk)	Post-intervention: 3.2 (SD 2.5) 6M follow-up: 4.1 (SD 3.6)	Post-intervention: 3.3 (SD 2.3) 6M follow-up: 3.6 (SD 2.4)	ns ns

Study Ref	Timing of measurements	PA measure*	INT results	CON results	INT vs CON
Baranowski, 2003 ^{w15}	Post-intervention	A. Accelerometer: adjusted mean mins of MVPA B. GEMS Activity Questionnaire (GAQ): mean MET-adjusted score	A. 67.5 (SD 7.6) B. 5.1 (SD 0.4)	A. 74.8 (SD 8.9) B. 4.3 (SD 0.5)	A. Estimated ratio: -7.3 (SE 11.8), ns B. Estimated ratio: 0.8 (SE 0.6), ns
Beech, 2003 ^{w16}	Post-intervention	CSA accelerometer: mean mins in MVPA from 12 noon to 6pm	INT 1: 72.0 (SE 8.2) INT 2: 78.8 (SE 8.2) INT 1 vs. INT 2: difference: 6.8 (SE 11.8), ns	67.5 (SE 8.5)	Difference (INT 1 & 2 vs CON): -6.8 (SE 11.7), ns
Harvey-Berino, 2003 ^{w17}	Post-intervention	Accelerometer: Vector magnitude/hr (change from baseline)	-2571 (SD 8025)	-1780 (SD 9090)	ns
Pangrazi, 2003 ^{w18}	Post-intervention	Pedometer: mean step counts	INT 1: 12,763 (SD 3833) INT 2: 12,598 (SD 4026)	CON 1: 12,401 (SD 3920) CON 2: 11,180 (SD 4262)	INT 1 vs CON 1: ns INT 2 vs CON 2: ns INT 1 vs CON 2: p=0.01 INT 2 vs CON 2: p=0.035
Story, 2003 ^{w19}	Post-intervention	A. Accelerometer: mean mins of MVPA B. GEMS PA Questionnaire (GAQ): met-adjusted score	A. 119.0 (SE 10.1) B. 4.6 (SE 0.3)	A. 116.1 (SE 9.2) B. 4.3 (SE 0.3)	Adjusted mean difference: A. 2.9 (SE 13.7), ns B. 0.3 (SE 0.5), ns
Warren, 2003 ^{w20}	Post-intervention	A. Parent questionnaire on general activity outside school B. Parent-completed weekend PA diary	<i>Data not presented</i>	<i>Data not presented</i>	No intervention effect observed on PA patterns outside of school (<i>data not presented</i>)
Harrell, 1996 ^{w21}	Post-intervention	Questionnaire: mean PA score A. Individual-level analyses B. School-level analyses	A. +1.89 (SE 1.5) B. <i>Data not presented</i>	A. -0.76 (SE 1.3) B. <i>Data not presented</i>	A. Mean difference: 3.9 (95% CI -0.2, 8.0), ns B. Favoured INT: mean difference: 3.7 (95% CI 0.4, 7.1)

Study Ref	Timing of measurements	PA measure*	INT results	CON results	INT vs CON
Howard, 1996 ^{w22}	10-11M follow-up (1 year from baseline) - no immediate post-intervention measurement	PA questionnaire: mean number of times/week of ≥ 30 -mins PA	Decreased 7.7 (SD 7.5) to 5.9 (SD 6.4)	Increased from 5.1 (SD 7.9) to 10.4 (SD 12.4)	ns
Baranowski, 1990 ^{w23}	Post-intervention	Stanford 7-day recall A. Energy expenditure: mean METS B. Energy expenditure: mean kcal	A. Decreased from 238.1 (SD 22.3) to 231.2 (SD 14.3) B. Increased from 9559 to 9684	A. Increased from 231.2 (SD 12.0) to 237.2 (SD 21.8) B. Increased from 10,226 to 11,031	A. $p < 0.01$ (favouring CON) B. $p < 0.01$ (favouring CON)
ENVIRONMENTAL interventions					
Verstraete, 2006 ^{w24}	Post-intervention	Accelerometer A. Morning recess: mean % of time spent in MVPA B. Lunch break: mean % of time spent in MVPA	A. Decreased from 56.6 (SD 29.4) to 53.4 (SD 25.6) B. Increased from 47.9 (SD 24.4) to 60.7 (SD 22.0)	A. Decreased from 55.9 (SD 22.9) to 43.5 (SD 27.6) B. Decreased from 54.9 (SD 23.9) to 44.7 (SD 21.9)	A. $p < 0.01$ (gender interaction showing effects only for girls). B. $p < 0.001$
Stratton, 2005 ^{w25}	Post-intervention	Heart rate monitors: mean % of time in MVPA during school playtime	Increased from 36.7 (SD 23.9) to 50.3 (SD 28.9)	Decreased from 39.9 (SD 21.1) to 33.4 (SD 18.4)	$p < 0.01$
Stratton, 2002 ^{w26}	Post-intervention	Heart rate monitoring A. Mean rate of energy expenditure during playtime B. Total energy expenditure during playtime	<i>Data not presented</i>	<i>Data not presented</i>	A. Increase 7.8% higher in INT than CON, $p \leq 0.02$ B. Increase 17% higher in INT than CON, ($p \leq 0.02$).

Study Ref	Timing of measurements	PA measure*	INT results	CON results	INT vs CON
Stratton, 2000 ^{w27}	Post-intervention	Heart rate monitoring A. mean % of time spent in MVPA during school playtime B. mean % of time spent in VPA during school playtime	A. Increased from 35.1 (SD 17.7) to 46.2 (SD 18.1) B. Increased from 5.3 (SD 6.8) to 10.0 (SD 10.8)	A. Decreased from 40.5 (SD 14.8) to 39.1 (SD 15.8) B. Decreased from 7.0 (SD 7.8) to 6.8 (SD 8.3)	ns ns
MULTI-COMPONENT interventions					
Jurg, 2006 ^{w28}	Post-intervention	Questionnaire (child-report) A. Minutes of MPA B. Meeting PA guideline	A. Increased in grades 4 and 5, slight decrease in grade 6. B. <i>Data not presented</i>	A. Decreased in all grades (significant decrease Grade 6). B. <i>Data not presented</i>	A. Standardised β : 0.11 (p<0.05); stratified analyses showed significant effect only in Grade 6 (β 0.22, p<0.001) B. OR 1.6 (95% CI 1.0 to 2.6); stratified analyses showed significant effect only in Grade 6 (OR 4.3, 95% CI 1.8 to 10.3).
Reilly, 2006 ^{w29}	Post-intervention	Accelerometer A. Mean counts per minute B. Median % of time spent in MVPA	A. 809 (SD 179) B. 3.5 (range 0.5-12.4)	A. 899 (SD 218) B. 4.1 (range 0.6-12.1)	ns ns
Coleman, 2005 ^{w30}	Mid-intervention (6M, 12M, 18M, 24M) Post-intervention (32M)	SOFIT A. % of time in PE spent in MVPA B. % of time in PE spent in VPA	A. 6M: 52; 12M: 56; 18M: 57; 24M: 55; 32M: 60 B. 6M: 16; 12M: 16; 18M: 13; 24M: 12; 32M: 12	A. 6M: 43; 12M: 53; 18M: 54; 24M: 44; 32M: 63 B. 6M: 15; 12M: 13; 18M: 12; 24M: 6; 32M: 10	A. 6M: ns; 12M: p<0.05; 18M: ns; 24M: p<0.05; 32M: ns B. 6M: ns; 12M: p<0.05; 18M: ns; 24M: p<0.05; 32M: p<0.05.

Study Ref	Timing of measurements	PA measure*	INT results	CON results	INT vs CON
Paradis, 2005 ^{w31,32}	Mid-intervention (24M) (Post-intervention cross-sectional only).	7-day recall (parent-report for grades 1-3, child-report for grades 4-6): adjusted mean frequency of episodes of ≤ 15 mins PA	Increased from 20.6 (SE 1.1) to 25.4 (SE 1.5)	Increased from 17.6 (SE 2.0) to 22.1 (SE 2.7)	ns
Caballero, 2003 ^{w33-36}	Post-intervention	A. Previous 24-hr questionnaire: activity index B. accelerometer (sub-sample): Vector magnitude/min	A. Decreased from 0.35 to 0.27 B. Decreased from 282.0 to 267.2	A. Decreased from 0.35 to 0.24 B. Decreased from 303.1 to 246.8	A. Mean difference: 0.04 (95% CI 0.01, 0.06) p=0.001 B. Mean difference: 20.4 (05% CI -19.1, 59.9), ns
Pate, 2003 ^{w37}	Mid-intervention (during 1 st year of intervention) Post intervention (3-4M after end of intervention)	PDPAR – out of school PA A. MVPA: Average number of 30-min blocks B. VPA: Average number of 30-min blocks	A. Post-intervention: boys: 2.3 (SE 0.2), girls: 1.8 (SE 0.2) B. Post-intervention: boys: 1.8 (SE 0.2), girls: 1.1 (SE 0.2)	A. Post-intervention: boys: 3.2 (SE 0.2), girls: 2.1 (SE 0.2) B. Post-intervention: boys: 2.4 (SE 0.2), girls: 1.1 (SE 0.1)	A. Both ns B. Both ns
Van Beurden, 2003 ^{w38}	Post-intervention	SOFIT (during PE) A. mean % time spent in MVPA B. mean % time spent in VPA	<i>Data not presented</i>	<i>Data not presented</i>	A. 4.5% higher increase, ns B. 3.3% higher increase (p=0.008)
Sahota, 2001 ^{w39,40}	Post-intervention	Questionnaire - PA outside of school (Frequency of PA during last week)	<i>Data not presented</i>	<i>Data not presented</i>	Weighted mean difference: -0.2 (95% CI -0.4 to 0.1) favouring INT, ns

Study Ref	Timing of measurements	PA measure*	INT results	CON results	INT vs CON
Sallis, 1997 ^{w41-43}	Post-intervention	<p>A. Accelerometer (outside school) – mean activity counts/hr</p> <p>B. 1-day recall (outside school) – summary score</p> <p>C. SOFIT (during PE): mean minutes in MVPA per week</p>	<p>A & B. Results displayed in paper separately for boys and girls for each INT group</p> <p>C. INT 1: 40.2 (95% CI 36.8, 43.7); INT 2: 32.7 (95% CI 29.1, 36.2)</p>	<p>A & B. Results displayed separately for boys and girls</p> <p>C. 17.8 (95% CI 13.2, 22.3)</p>	<p>A & B. No significant intervention effect for boys or girls on weekdays or at weekend.</p> <p>C. INT 2>INT 1>CON: p=0.001, ns when adjusted for lesson length</p>
McKenzie, 1996 ^{w44-47}	Post-intervention 1-year, 2-year and 3-year follow-up	<p>SAPAC - used from Grade 5</p> <p>A. Minutes spent in VPA</p> <p>B. Total PA</p> <p>C. SOFIT (during PE) - used up until Grade 5 – adjusted mean minutes spent in MVPA</p>	<p>INT1 & INT2</p> <p>A. Decreased over time, 3-yr follow-up: 30.2 (SE 1.3)</p> <p>B. Decreased over time, 3-yr follow-up: 121.1 (SE 2.1)</p> <p>C. Increased from 10.5 (SE 0.4) to 14.0 (SE 0.3)</p>	<p>A. Decreased over time, 3-yr follow-up: 22.1 (SE 1.4)</p> <p>B. Decreased over time, 3-yr follow-up: 125.4 (SE 2.6)</p> <p>C. Increased from 10.0 (SE 0.5) to 11.1 (SE 0.4)</p>	<p>A. Adjusted difference at 3-yr: 8.8 (SE 2.7), p=0.001</p> <p>B. Adjusted difference at 3-yr: -2.4 (SE 4.5), ns</p> <p>C. Net effect: 2.5 (SE 0.6), p=0.003</p>

Notes: M: Months; PA: Physical activity; (M)VPA: Moderate-vigorous/vigorous physical activity; WBPA: Weight-bearing physical activity; PE: Physical education; CSA: Computer Science Applications (now known as MTI); (PD)PAR: (Previous Day) Physical Activity Recall; SAPAC: Self-administered PA checklist; SOFIT: System for Observing Fitness Instruction Time; CON: Control group; INT: Intervention group; ns: Non-significant; SD: standard deviation; SE: standard error.

*refers to overall PA unless specified.