ADDITION-Cambridge Five year results





Simon Griffin Nick Wareham

Outline

- 1. The diabetes epidemic
- 2. Why screen for diabetes?
- 3. ADDITION-Cambridge
- 4. Study results
- 5. Conclusions
- 6. Ten-year follow-up

1. The diabetes epidemic

Prevalence (%) estimates of diabetes (20-79 years), 2010



IDF Diabetes Atlas 2009

Prevalence (%) estimates of diabetes (20-79 years), 2030



IDF Diabetes Atlas 2009

England, 2010







Holman et al. Diab Med 2011; 28:575-82



- Prevention
- Screening
- Better treatment



Global Diabetes Plan, IDF 2011

2. Why screen for diabetes?

Screening criteria





- 1.Important health problem
- 2.Simple and safe test available
- 3.Potential harms investigated
- 4.Effective treatment for individuals identified early

1. Important health problem













MRC | Epidemiology Unit

2. Simple and safe test available











Diabetes Risk Test



Complete the questionnaire below to find out if you are at risk of developing type 2 diabetes.

	Answer	Tick appropriate box	Score
1. How old are you?	44 & under		0
	45-49		7
	50-54		13
	55+		18
2. What sex are you?	Male		4
	Female		0
3. What is your Body			
Mass Index (BMI)	24 & under		0
	25-29		7
	30+		15

Use your height and weight to work out your Body Mass Index (BMI) using the graph below: e.g. 4 ft10 ins 11 stone = obese class 1, i.e. BMI is over 30 therefore score 15.



	Answer	Tick appropriate box	Score		
I. Have you been diagnosed with high blood pressure?					
	Yes		10		
	No		0		
 Are you physically active in your leisure life? e.g. 30 minutes of moderate physical activity, such as brisk walking, at least 5 days a week 					
	Yes		0		
	No		6		
5. Are either of you	r parents dia	betic?			
	Yes		7		
	No		0		
		TOTAL (max 60)			

SCORE RANGES

If you have a total score of 31 or more you may be at increased risk of having undiagnosed diabetes. Please consider following the advice below and overleaf to arrange a simple blood sugar test at a local pharmacy, or discuss the result with your practice nurse.

Identify diabetes early

Diabetes causes elevated levels of sugar in the blood and may run in families. Untreated diabetes may cause damage to the heart, eyes, kidneys and feet. Early diagnosis and treatment can reduce the risk of complications.

Some of the signs of diabetes include always feeling tired, being irritable, being thirsty, passing urine excessively and getting infections and numbress in the feet.

See overleaf

3. Potential harms

Between group differences



Favours screening Favours control

BMJ 2007; **335**: 486-489 BMJ 2007; **335**: 490-493



4. Effective treatment for individuals detected early

Diabetes detectable in the blood

0 years

Diabetes detected clinically

~8 years

Diabetes detectableDiabetes detectedDiabetes detectedin the bloodby screeningclinically

0 years ~4 years ~8 years

Diabetes detectableDiabetes detectedDiabetes detectedin the bloodby screeningclinically

0 years



If we find and treat people earlier, can we reduce the chance of them dying early and/or suffering from heart attacks and strokes?

Mortality by Attendance at Screening in the Ely cohort MRC Epidemiology Unit 1990-1999



Diabetologia 2010; 54: 312-319

3. ADDITION-Cambridge

Aims

- To evaluate the feasibility of stepwise screening programs to identify individuals with undiagnosed diabetes
- To assess the feasibility of the delivery of intensive treatment of risk factors in people with screen detected diabetes
- To evaluate the effectiveness in primary care of early *intensive treatment* compared to *routine care* on cardiovascular outcomes



Research

Counci

Royal College of

General Practitioners

wellcometr



Screening programme



Intervention







4. Study results

Patient baseline characteristics

	Average
Age (years)	60
Current smoker (%)	28
HbA _{1c} (%)	6.8
BMI (kg/m²)	33.6
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	142
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	81
Cholesterol (mmol/l)	5.4

Five-year data collection



Study coordination



More than 1,100 phone calls!!



Collecting endpoints





EVENT ADJUDICATION	addition				
Addition ID Endpairt Case number:					
Cale of event Cory = Hamilton =					
(Please tick only one of the sections 1-5)	(Please tick only one of the sections 1-5)				
Section 1:	Section 2:				
Acute myocardial infarction	Stroke				
	Definite ischemic				
Section 3:	Definite haemonfragic				
Descus database and procedure	Not classifiable				
D B() (astrono (CAB))					
Among Pick					
D Oher	Section 5:				
tor coding	Anputation				
	Please state level of amputation:				
Section 4:	Die Dieger				
Invasive peripheral vascular procedure	Dias Dissure				
Lower extremities:	Diffuse (included) DEbox (included)				
Dispass surgery	D Think D Unservant				
Percutaneous transforminal angioplasty (PTA) Attempt at PTA					
Nesk	Caused by cardiovascular disease				
Bypass surgery	Caused by neuropatic disease				
Thromboendarterectomy/thrombectomy	Mixture of above				
Other:	Other reasons (e.g. traumatic)				
C					
Additional information required, please describe:					
Not an endpoint contributing to the primary objective					
Date of adjudication:	Date of adjudication:				
Initials of EP member:					
Version 1 d. 19.06.2009					





Sorting through questionnaires



Prescribed treatment at baseline and 5yr follow-up

Routine care



Prescribed treatment at baseline and 5yr follow-up



Prescribed treatment at baseline and 5yr follow-up



Results in context



Results in context



Results in context



Chance of having a heart attack or stroke



ADDITION-Plus study

- Does extra support given by lifestyle facilitators improves outcomes in individuals with diabetes?
- Results suggested that the facilitators did not appear to add to what patients and practitioners were already doing
- However, *ADDITION-Plus* participants reported that they appreciated the extra support

5. Conclusions

Conclusions

- The health status of ADDITION participants was improved five years after diagnosis e.g. there were important reductions in levels of blood pressure, cholesterol and blood glucose.
- Earlier diagnosis and treatment of diabetes has contributed to lower than expected rates of heart attack and premature death, which is now similar to those in the general population.

Public health implications



NICE public health guidance 35

ADDITION-Cambridge study team

Gisela Baker, Daniel Barnes, Mark Betts, Clare Boothby, Sandra Bovan, Parinya Chamnan, Adam Dickinson, Sue Emms, Francis Finucane, Susie Hennings, Muriel Hood, Iain Morrison, Garry King, Christine May Hall, Joanna Mitchell, Kim Mwanza, Paul Roberts, Emanuella De Lucia Rolfe, Stephen Sharp, Rebecca Simmons, Matt Sims, James Sylvester, Liz White (MRC Epidemiology Unit, Cambridge)

Amanda Adler, Sean Dinneen, Mark Evans (Cambridge University Hospitals, NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge)

Rebecca Abbott, Judith Argles, Rebecca Bale, Roslyn Barling, Sue Boase, Ryan Butler, Pesheya Doubleday, Tom Fanshawe, Philippa Gash, Julie Grant, Wendy Hardeman, Ann-Louise Kinmonth, Richard Parker, Nicola Popplewell, A Toby Prevost, Megan Smith, Stephen Sutton, Fiona Whittle, Kate Williams, Georgina Lewis, Lincoln Sargeant (Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge)

Robert Henderson (Hinchingbrooke Hospital, Huntingdon)

Thank you for your participation!

6. Ten-year follow-up