

"Healthcare decision making – who should be round the table?"

Dr. Amanda Adler

Chair, National Institute of Care Excellent (NICE) Technology Appraisal Committee B

Physician, Cambridge University Hospitals Foundation Trust



National Health Service





- 'Universal health care'
- Free at point of care
- 'Cradle to grave'
- Funded by taxation
- Funds are limited

Many choices, limited resources



Maximise 'utility' (well-being) NICE in England handles these decisions

https://fthmb.tqn.com/iohHY0mQXDNbxDbCXYf3yflCJO4=/768x0/filters:no_upscale()/about/GettyImages-499636577-1--58b9df955f9b58af5cbc1a14.jpg





THE NEW NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE

Your new National Health Service begins on 5th July. What is it? How do you get it?

It will provide you with all medical, dental, and nursing care. Everyone—rich or poor, man, woman or child—can use it or any part of it. There are no charges, except for a few special items. There are no insurance qualifications. But it is not a "charity". You are all paying for it, mainly as taxpayers, and it will relieve your money worries in time of illness. NHS has made these decisions even more important

...the NHS was founded on a fallacy: that there was a finite amount of illhealth....which, once removed, would result in ... health care becoming cheaper as the need for it dropped off. What has happened is the success in health care has resulted in people living longer potentially to be ill more often and consume more resources' -David Hunter

NICE oversees Health Technology Appraisal How does NICE define a 'technology'?



When a new drug ('technology') comes to England Regulators and NICE ask different questions



NICE National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

- 1. Does the drug work?
- 2. Is the drug safe?
- How well does the drug work compared with what the NHS currently offers ?
- 2. Does the drug reflect a good use of limited health care resources?

Fixed budgets and 'opportunity costs' Need for a common measure of effectiveness



Other diabetes drug? Diabetes education? Alzheimer's drugs? Childhood vaccines? Cancer drugs? Hospice?

Willett, BMJ

Effectiveness common to all diseases



Calculating Costs Example: new vs. older cancer drug



Cost effectiveness plane C= what NHS currently offers



BMJ 2011;342:d1548; S Shah, S Chakravarty;

What is the willingness to pay for a QALY?



'Reference' Case

| Element of health technology assessment | Reference |
|---|--|
| Defining the decision problem | Scope developed by NICE |
| Comparator(s) | Offered in the NHS |
| Perspective costs | NHS and PSS |
| Perspective benefits | All health effects on individuals |
| Measure of health effects | QALYs |
| Type of economic evaluation | Cost-effectiveness estimated by an incremental cost effectiveness ratio (ICER = $\Delta \cos t/\Delta QALY$) |
| Synthesis of evidence on outcomes | Systematic review |
| Source for measuring health-related quality of life | Reported by patients and carers |
| Source of preference data for valuing changes in health-related quality of life | General public |
| Discount rate | Annual rate of 3.5% on both costs and effects |
| Equity weighting | An additional QALY has same weight regardless of the characteristics of individuals receiving the health benefit |

To make decisions, who should be around the table and why?

Who makes decisions for NICE? Technology Appraisal Committees 'independent', 'transparent', 'managed conflicts'



- Chair and vice-chair
- 'Consultants' (senior doctors)
- Statistician
- Health economists
- Clinical pharmacologist
- Paediatrician
- Psychiatrist
- Nurse
- Managers
- Pharmacist
- Ancillary NHS
- General Practitioners
- Public health physicians
- Lay members
- Industry representatives

Adam Wishart, BBC2, "Price of Life"

Clinicians ... who understand statistics



Technically demanding



People who can build and understand disease models

Trials infrequently measure length or quality of life as the 1° endpoint necessitating modelling



P. M. Clarke, A. M. Gray · A. Briggs et al. UKPDS 68 Diabetologia (2004) 47:1747–1759

Diabetes snakes and ladders



People who understand survival modelling – trials are short, life is long



How much longer - on average – do people live?

Health economists need averages



http://www.rethinkmultiplemyeloma.bmsinformation.com/Measures-of-Progression

Requires extrapolating survival – effects of choosing different distributions



Survival Analyses – Methods often used in HTA - accessed Aug 2015

Statistical distributions with heavy tails 'the tail wags the curve'



People who understand quality of life



Example: Hypoglycaemia



Example: Company X has a new drug for diabetes. Compared to a current drug, it does not lower complications or make people live longer, but it lowers the rate of hypoglycaemia (without increasing glycaemia). It costs more than the current drug. What is it worth to the NHS to avoid hypoglycaemia and improve quality of life?

Hypoglycaemia – what's it 'worth' to prevent?



https://haam.org/2015/04/24/taste-of-torah-rich-man-poor-man/

Time trade off



40 years left of life with hypoglycaemia , willing to forego 20 years for perfect health; perfect health is 1.0 and death is 0. Jantoo.com Utility with hypoglycaemia = 0.5

People who understand costs



Vinflunine for Bladder Cancer

- Drug cost mainly based on:
 - body surface area
 - number of treatment cycles
 - intravenous infusion every 21 days as an outpatient
- Total treatment costs
 - £21,714 for vinflunine + best supportive care
 - £8,642 for best supportive care
- ICER > £100,000 per QALY
- Sensitivity analyses:

- even when drug has £0 price, ICER £27,478

Reference: Vinflunine for the treatment of advanced or metastatic transitional cell carcinoma of the urothelial tract NICE technology appraisal guidance [TA272]

People who appreciate short-comings of observational data including residual confounding

Company's argument



Figure 1. Joint associations of serum uric acid and gout with total mortality in the general population. **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05 vs. referent group (uric acid < 256 µmol/l and no gout). Adjusted for age, sex, race, comorbid conditions and body mass index.

- "Company used the study by Stack et al. to model the decrease in mortality risk when lowering serum uric acid levels with treatment."
- "Committee noted that the analysis by Stack et al. did not control for, among other potential confounders, poor renal function, which increases the risk of dying and was itself highly associated with serum uric acid levels in the same study."
- Was done in the general population therefore would imply that whole population needs treatment.
- Company presented pharmacoepidemiological data.
- Also potentially confounded

Stack et al. Q J Med 2013; 106:647–658 Final Appraisal Consultation Document NICE

Recommendation

Lesinurad for treating chronic hyperuricaemia in people with gout (TA506)

1 Recommendations

1.1 Lesinurad is not recommended within its marketing authorisation, that is, with a xanthine oxidase inhibitor for treating hyperuricaemia in adults with gout whose serum uric acid is above the target level despite an adequate dose of a xanthine oxidase inhibitor alone.

The main factors affecting the cost effectiveness of lesinurad are the assumptions that lowering serum uric acid levels in people with gout improves quality of life and that it prolongs life. Results from observational studies suggest that people with chronic gout have a shorter life expectancy than people without gout. However, there is no robust evidence from randomised trials to show that lowering serum uric acid levels extends life.

People who appreciate short-comings of observational data including confounding by indication Insulin in type 2 diabetes Not safe? Safe?



"In people with T2DM, exogenous insulin therapy was associated with an increased risk of diabetes-related complications, cancer, and all-cause mortality."

Currie et al. Mortality and other important diabetes-related outcomes with insulin vs. other antihyperglycemic therapies in type 2 diabetes.

Outcome of expert advisor panel



31 May 2013 EMA/329790/2013 EMEA/H/C/000309

Outcome of review of new safety data on insulin glargine Data from population-based studies and the scientific literature do not indicate an increased risk of cancer

Does insulin kill people with type 2 diabetes?



Patients who share their experience....



Tell about the experience of living with the condition or about the drug...



'Methuselah' bias



Bible (Genesis): 'And all the days of Methuselah were nine hundred sixty and nine years: and he died'

Few patients appreciate that for fatal diseases, decision makers are aware that the patient is one of the lucky few.

People who minimize their conflicts of interest

Conflicts are 'subconscious', and not all conflicts are financial



When Evidence Says No, but Doctors Say Yes

https://www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/2017/02/when-evidence-says-no-but-doctors-say-yes/517368/

People with 'thick skins'



NICE bows to pressure to re-open consultation on 'bonkers' diabetes guidelines



Met >15 diabetes specialists over last few days. Not a single person thinks the draft Type 2 diabetes @NICEcomms document makes any sense

Le Sentenced to deathin NICE

Doctors Outraged by NICE Draft Guidance on Drug Treatments for Type 2 Diabetes, Online Study Shows

Thank you and enjoy the course It could change your life!





July 1996 Attending Cambridge Course Dec 1996 Working in Oxford with Prof Robert Turner